

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,937	KAVOSH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Louis Falasco	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Louis Falasco.

(2) Lewis Nunnolley.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004

Time: 11:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: McGraw-Hill Science dictionary definition of near infrared.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

To clarify what wavelegths were encompassed by the terminology "near-IR" appearing in the claims, applicants' representative pointed to the definition in the McGraw-Hill Science dictionary. It was convincently pointing out that the wavelegths encompassed by the "near-IR" was established in the art, evident from the dictionary definition.